

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION

JAMES CARLTON,	:	Case No. 1:08-cv-454
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	Spiegel, J.
	:	Black, M.J.
vs.	:	
	:	
STEVE CHABOT, <i>et al.</i> ,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	
	:	

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION¹ THAT PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT BE
DISMISSED, AND THIS CASE CLOSED, PURSUANT TO THE PENDING
SHOW CAUSE ORDER (Doc. 3)**

The Court previously entered a Show Cause Order in this civil action, advising the plaintiff that her complaint would be dismissed due to his failure to effect timely service of process of the summons and complaint. (*See* Doc. 3 (citing *See Osborne*, 217 F.R.D. at 408; *United States v. Gluklick*, 801 F.2d 834, 837 (6th Cir. 1986), *cert. denied*, 480 U.S. 919 (1987)).

Because the time deadline set forth in the Show Cause Order has now passed without plaintiff having timely effected service of process of the summons and complaint and/or filed any responsive pleading, the undersigned **RECOMMENDS** that plaintiff's complaint be **DISMISSED** for failure of service of process and that this case be **CLOSED**.

Date: April 13, 2009

s/Timothy S. Black
Timothy S. Black
United States Magistrate Judge

¹ Attached hereto is a NOTICE to the parties regarding objections to this Report and Recommendation.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION

JAMES CARLTON,	:	Case No. 1:08-cv-454
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	Spiegel, J.
	:	Black, M.J.
vs.	:	
	:	
STEVE CHABOT, <i>et al.</i> ,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	
	:	

NOTICE

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), any party may serve and file specific, written objections to this Report & Recommendation (“R&R”) within **FIFTEEN (15) DAYS** of the filing date of this R&R. That period may be extended further by the Court on timely motion by either side for an extension of time. All objections shall specify the portion(s) of the R&R objected to, and shall be accompanied by a memorandum of law in support of the objections. A party shall respond to an opponent’s objections within **TEN (10) DAYS** after being served with a copy of those objections. Failure to make objections in accordance with this procedure may forfeit rights on appeal. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140 (1985); *United States v. Walters*, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981).